

6.2.2 DA0331/2014 – PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF THE OLD
GULGONG HOSPITAL – LOTS 195 AND 196 DP755434, 34
GOOLMA ROAD GULGONG

GOV400038, DA0331/2014

275/14

MOTION: Cavalier / White

That:

1. the report by the Manager Statutory Planning on the DA0331/2014 – Proposed Demolition of the Old Gulgong Hospital – Lots 195 and 196 DP755434, 34 Goolma Road Gulgong be received;
2. Development Application 0331/2014 for the demolition of the Old Gulgong Hospital on Lots 195 and 196 DP755434, 34 Goolma Road Gulgong be refused for the following reasons:

REASONS FOR REFUSAL

1. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012, 1.2 Aims of Plan (2) (b) (iv) as the proposed development does not protect, enhance or conserve a building of heritage significance.
2. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012, 1.2 Aims of Plan (2) (d) as the proposal does not support and celebrate Mid-Western Regional heritage attributes.
3. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012, clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation objective (a) to conserve the environmental heritage of Mid-Western Region
4. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan, clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation objective (b) to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items including associated fabric, settings and views.
5. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012, clause 5.10 (4) Effect of proposed development on heritage significance as the application proposes to demolish a locally listed heritage item.
6. The proposed development is inconsistent with the Heritage Impact Assessment Review which observed the heritage significance of the 1901 hospital building and that the building was capable of reuse.
7. The proposed development is inconsistent with the public interest demonstrated by the 60 submissions and the 700 signature petition objecting to the proposed demolition.

The motion was carried with Councillors voting unanimously.